UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/841,661	04/24/2001	John Delta	09857-044001	6435	
26161 7590 01/23/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			EXAMINER		
			AKINTOLA, OLABODE		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3691		
•	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
·			01/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/841,661	DELTA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Olabode Akintola	3691

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendment, af ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 December 2006</u>. A lof the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u>), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid of	dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	· ·		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wiided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-35.			
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-33.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet.	DTO(0D(00) Damas Na(a)	•	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (- 10/36/06) Faper NO(S)		
13. LI Ollici			
	•		
•	•		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 9/6/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the Vogel fails to suggest a suspect trade filtering process.... The examiner disagrees. In col. 3, lines 22-25, Vogel teaches "irregular monitoring system 27 which performs algorithms to remove irregular and suspect data items". See also col. 2, lines 27-30 and lines 44-47.

With regards to claims 4 and 31, examiner interprets the term "irregular" as defined in col. 2, lines 45-47 to read on the "price acceptability window..." and "acceptable range of price...."

With regards to claim 23, applicant argued Vogel does not disclose trading session. Examiner disagrees. Vogel teaches a

network-based transaction facility (col. 2, lines 27-30).

With regards to claims 5, 20 and 32, applicant argued that Sposito fails to teach "a last known good price adjustment" process....." Examiner disagrees. Examiner interprets "updating the associated last sales price..." in sections [0023] and [0030] in Sposito to read on this limitation.